UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,017	10/24/2003	Dale K. Hitt	625500-501	1755
37374 7590 05/12/2009 INSKEEP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP, INC 2281 W. 190TH STREET SUITE 200 TORRANCE, CA 90504			EXAMINER	
			FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

inskeepstaff@inskeeplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/693,017	HITT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution, and the provision of the provision of the mail that the provision of the mail that the provision of the mail that the provision of the provisi	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-13,15-22 and 48-65 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-22 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-13,48-65 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to by the Examination 10 and a specific a	ccepted or b) objected to by the se drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/693,017

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 1, 6-13, and 48-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 1. unpatentable over Buss et al-US Patent #7,240,743 in view of Chuang-US Patent # 6,601,440. As to claims 1, 8 and 50, Buss et al disclose a soil probe insertion arrangement used in the prior art including probe body 10 for placement in the ground via conical end 16, a stabilizing member (stabilization plates 20 with collar 24 which appear to be such that water flow down the body would be minimized by the gapless contact in figs. 4 and 5 with the probe body) and disposed on an external side of the body 10 and having an interior for insertion of sensor member/component mast (sensor array 18) which uses capacitive sensors for measuring soil properties, top member (cover 14) which must be removable in order to allow for the recited insertion of the sensor array, see fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 46 et seq. Further, it is noted that Buss et al does not specifically recite that the probe wirelessly transmits data or a wireless transceiver circuit. However, in a related prior art device, Chuang discloses an apparatus for detecting soil properties which includes a wireless transmitter 32/transceiver on circuit board 30 within the housing 1 of the probe, see fig. 2. Inclusion of a wireless transmitter in the Buss et al device would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to remotely monitor the conditions of the soil. As to claim 6, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a

Art Unit: 2856

collar at the head end of the probe body in order to mount the cover. As to claim 7 and 57, usage of a battery is not disclosed in Buss et al. However, in the Chuang device the battery/power supply 31 is depicted within the probe body for the obvious purpose of powering the sensors locally, see fig. 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a battery or power supply in the Buss et al device in order to have an in situ power source to supply power to the electronic sensors. As to claims 10 and 59, further usage of a solar panel as a power supply is old and well-known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a solar panel as the power supply in Chuang in order to eliminate the need for an electronic battery. As to claims 9 and 56, the type of mounting is not clear in the Buss et al reference. However, given the Chuang depiction of threading, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a screw mount in order to secure the cover to the probe body since such a mounting is old and well-known. As to claims 11 and 58, fig. 1 appears to have some display on the cover 14 indicated by the boxes. As to claim 12, usage of an LCD/LED display is old and very well-known. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided an LCD/LED display on the probe of Buss et al. to give a visual indication of the probe operation. As to claim 13, Buss et al indicate that the

Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

probe is round/cylindrical. As to claim 48, the sensor array 18 appears to be in the form of a mast. As to claims 49 and 55, the sensors are recited as soil conductive, see col. 2, lines 26 et seq. As to claim 51, given the depiction of fig. 1, it would appear that the sensor array mast connects to the top. As to claim 52, note the plurality of components since it is a sensor array. As to claims 53 and 54, when positioned in the probe body 10, it would appear that the components are positioned along a length and perimeter.

2. Claims 60-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buss et al in view of Chuang as applied to claims 1, 6-14, and 48-59 above, and further in view of McLeroy-US Patent # 5,408,893. As to claims 60-65, Buss et al disclose usage of stabilizing plates 20 with ring shaped collar 24 but does not specify a separate gasket. In a related prior art device, McLeroy disclose a ground moisture probe 10 with body (rod 11) to be inserted into soil ground surface 9 for testing the soil which further includes a stop 19 in the form of a ring washer or peg surrounding the rod, see fig.1 and col. 3. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed a stop 19 such as disclosed by McLeroy since it allows for the adjustment of desired soil depth penetration, see col. 3, lines 23-46. As to claims 61 and 64, the shape is considered to have been a matter of design choice obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow for ease of insertion into the soil. As to claims 62 and 65, usage of rubber is not specifically disclosed. However,

the usage of rubber for ring washers is old and well-known and also McLeroy discuss compression such that the usage of rubber would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have determined in order to meet the criteria of having compression.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 60-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. As in claims 60-65, it is unclear how the stabilizing member is a "gasket" since a gasket is supposed to be situated in *between* members.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-13, 48-65 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashmiya S. Fayyaz whose telephone number is 571-272-2192. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays and Thursdays.

Art Unit: 2856

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. S. F./

Examiner, Art Unit 2856

/Hezron Williams/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856